Postoperative Delirium
A 76-Year-Old Woman With Delirium Following Surgery

Edward R. Marcantonio, MD, SM, Discussant

DR DELBANCO: Ms R is a 76-year-old woman who experienced delirium following complicated surgery for removal of a polyp of the colon. A self-employed, active therapist, she lives alone with children nearby. She has no family history of dementia. She does not smoke and does not abuse alcohol or other substances. She has Medicare and supplemental insurance. For many years, Ms R received care at a hospital-based primary care unit.

Her medical history includes depression, paroxysmal atrial fibrillation, irritable bowel syndrome, and gastrointestinal bleeding due to diverticulosis. She took only vitamins and prophylactic aspirin. She has had long-standing, low-grade anemia, with hemoglobin levels of about 11 g/dL, along with multiple normal creatinine, electrolyte, calcium, and glucose measurements. She received a hip replacement in 2008 for degenerative osteoarthritis, a procedure that was uneventful and was not associated with delirium.

In early 2010, a polyp measuring approximately 3.5 cm was found in Ms R's sigmoid colon during a screening colonoscopy. In a "difficult" and extensive transabdominal procedure complicated by the presence of extensive diverticula, the polyp was removed by anterior colectomy. She did well immediately postoperatively, with some pain but no sign of confusion noted by the clinicians or her family. Three days after surgery, Ms R developed acute confusion, followed by high fever and hypotension. She was transferred to the intensive care unit (ICU), where she was treated with fluids and antibiotics. Workup revealed an anastomotic leak requiring diverting loop ileostomy. She never required intubation, sedation, or pressors but developed paroxysmal atrial fibrillation requiring cardioversion. Her confusion persisted throughout her 4-day ICU stay, and psychiatric evaluation led to a diagnosis of delirium, which cleared slowly as her medical condition stabilized. She went to a skilled nursing facility and then home, where no further delirium was noted.

Three months after her initial surgery, Ms R returned to the hospital to have her ileostomy closed. Despite uneventful surgery and an otherwise routine postoperative course, she developed delirium immediately postoperatively and in the following days appeared both confused and depressed.

Delirium (acute confusion) complicates 15% to 50% of major operations in older adults and is associated with other major postoperative complications, prolonged length of stay, poor functional recovery, institutionalization, dementia, and death. Importantly, delirium may be predictable and preventable through proactive intervention. Yet clinicians fail to recognize and address postoperative delirium in up to 80% of cases. Using the case of Ms R, a 76-year-old woman who developed delirium first after colectomy with complications and again after routine surgery, the diagnosis, prevention, and treatment of delirium in the postoperative setting is reviewed. The risk of postoperative delirium can be quantified by the sum of predisposing and precipitating factors. Successful strategies for prevention and treatment of delirium include proactive multifactorial intervention targeted to reversible risk factors, limiting use of sedating medications (especially benzodiazepines), effective management of postoperative pain, and, perhaps, judicious use of antipsychotics.

She was hospitalized for major depression, which was treated with quetiapine and citalopram. Toward the end of that stay she fell, fractured her sacrum, and was managed without surgical intervention. She required several weeks of care in a skilled nursing facility.

Four months after discharge, Ms R returned to her profession as a therapist, living alone and driving her car. Her only medications were aspirin and vitamins. At the time of the interview, there was no evidence of thought disorder.

The conference on which this article is based took place at the Surgical Grand Rounds at Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts, on March 30, 2011.
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She appeared well and denied any symptoms of confusion or depression.

**MS R: HER VIEW**

I remember nothing about the admissions. I do remember, strangely enough, the rooms and the beds and some of the staff who were surrounding my care during that time.

Now, 4 months later, I have resumed my practice of psychotherapy almost up to the full amount as before. I drive, I feel optimistic, and I’m enjoying my friends and relatives. I think that I could say I’m neither depressed nor in any kind of physical or emotional pain. I would certainly not refuse to have an operation that was necessary to save my life, nor can I imagine undergoing, under any circumstances, elective surgery with a light heart. I would hire an expert in delirium with the hope that that person might have some way of intervening early to avoid this from happening.

**MS R’S DAUGHTER: HER VIEW**

My mother was very confused and would repeat herself many times about what the plan was. She would contradict herself, really just wanting to get home. I just remembered my mother after that last surgery really losing a sense of reality and just mixing up names and times during our conversations. It was also very difficult trying to set up her discharge plan. During this time, I was feeling very hopeless about her future; it was very scary for the family to see this happen. We didn’t know what to do and we were confused about what was happening to her. It wasn’t like her; her baseline was just gone. I reached out to the surgeons through our primary care. I called a lot of people about her confusion. I think the staff was pretty confused about how to continue my mother’s care, and as the family, we had to do much advocacy. It was frustrating, and at times I felt angry, but I think they were just as confused as she was on some level. I kept screaming at them, “She hasn’t really healed!” and they would say, “No? Well, her body is fine,” and I’d say, “She’s not fine.” I felt with the surgical team that she was opened up and then sewed back up and she physically healed, but mentally she was nowhere near.

**POSTOPERATIVE DELIRIUM**

Delirium is an acute confusional state characterized by inattention, abnormal level of consciousness, thought disorganization, and a fluctuating course. These diagnostic criteria, found in the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (Fourth Edition) and in the *International Statistical Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision,* help to distinguish delirium from dementia. Any delirium that occurs after surgery may be called “postoperative delirium,” but as Ms R’s first episode of delirium illustrates, not all such instances are directly attributable to surgery or anesthesia.

**Incidence and Persistence of Postoperative Delirium**

The incidence of postoperative delirium varies significantly depending on the patient’s age and preoperative status, whether the surgery is elective vs emergent, the type of surgery, and the development of postoperative complications. In general, older patients undergoing emergency surgery or long, complicated surgical procedures tend to have a higher frequency of delirium. eTable 1 (available at http://www.jama.com) summarizes the incidence of delirium in several major surgical populations.

At least 2 of 3 cases of delirium develop in the first 2 postoperative days, with the peak incidence on postoperative day 1 and the peak prevalence on postoperative day 2. Later-onset delirium is often associated with either a major postoperative complication or withdrawal from alcohol or sedatives. As an example, Ms R developed delirium on postoperative day 3 shortly before she developed sepsis due to her anastomotic leak.

The duration of delirium also has a bimodal distribution. Approximately half the episodes resolve within 2 days of onset, while nearly one-third persist until hospital discharge. Among patients discharged from the hospital, delirium can be slow to clear, with up to 50% still showing signs of delirium a month later.

Postoperative cognitive dysfunction (POCD) is used to describe longer-term cognitive deficits that occur after surgery, often measured by serial performance on a neurocognitive battery. Very few studies have used state-of-the-art methods to measure both delirium and POCD, so whether these 2 entities are related remains uncertain. A POCD-like syndrome has also been described in ICU and severe sepsis survivors.
Delirium and Surgical Outcomes

Delirium is strongly associated with poor surgical outcomes. In the hospital, postoperative delirium is associated with a 2- to 5-fold increased risk of major postoperative complications, including an increased risk of death.\(^2,7,16\) Patients who develop delirium stay in the hospital 2 to 5 days longer than similar patients without delirium and have a 3-fold increased risk of requiring institutional placement at discharge.\(^6,7,14-16\) Delirium is associated with $60,000 of incremental costs over the following year. These costs accrue both during the hospitalization and after discharge.\(^2,6,7\)

A recent meta-analysis that included both medical and surgical patients showed that in the long term, delirium was associated with increased mortality for up to 2 years, institutionalization for up to 14 months, and new dementia for up to 4 years.\(^2,6,10,29\) Separate studies have demonstrated an association of delirium with poor functional recovery after surgery for up to 6 months.\(^6,10,29\) The specific role of delirium in the etiology of these poor outcomes remains controversial. It is possible that delirium contributes directly or that its development may define a state of vulnerability. It is likely that both scenarios are true, and further research is necessary to determine whether prevention and treatment of delirium leads to improved outcomes.

Pathophysiology of Postoperative Delirium

The pathophysiology of delirium is largely unknown, and different mechanisms may pertain in different circumstances.\(^30\) Cholinergic deficiency, or a failure of cholinergic neurons, is thought to be the final common pathway.\(^31\) Procholinergic drugs can reverse anticholinergic poisoning\(^32\) but have not demonstrated efficacy in more typical postoperative delirium (see "Preventability of Postoperative Delirium" section). Patients who develop postoperative delirium may have an accentuated inflammatory response to surgery\(^33,34\) or, in the case of Ms R, may have an intense inflammatory stimulus related to a postoperative infection. This inflammation may cross the blood-brain barrier and directly injure neurons, causing elevated biomarkers of neuronal injury and perhaps some of the long-term adverse effects of delirium\(^35,36\) (FIGURE). So far, this model is speculative and no specific treatment strategy is linked to these mechanisms.

Recognition and Diagnosis of Delirium

Delirium is a clinical diagnosis that requires assessment by care providers. No blood test or other laboratory or radiology test is available. A recent review of bedside diagnostic instruments recommended the Confusion Assessment Method (CAM), which requires the presence of (1) acute change in mental status with a fluctuating course; (2) inattention; and either (3) disorganized thinking or (4) altered level of consciousness.\(^37,38\) The CAM has excellent sensitivity (86%) and specificity (93%) relative to an expert clinician's diagnosis when administered by trained staff after a brief, targeted mental status evaluation.\(^36\) Simple tests of attention include having the patient repeat a sequence of random numbers in forward or backward order, recite the days of week or months of year backward, or raise his/her hand whenever he/she hears a certain letter or number in a list. Importantly, noncomatose patients who do not respond to these simple tests of attention most likely are demonstrating profound inattention due to delirium. Several ICU delirium instruments exist,\(^39,40\) including a variant of the CAM that uses only nonverbal responses, the CAM-ICU.\(^40\) The CAM-ICU is most appropriate for intubated patients and has lower sensitivity when used in verbal patients.\(^41,42\)

Despite the availability of diagnostic algorithms, systematic assessment for delirium has not been widely adopted in practice. Studies that compare a research diagnosis of delirium with documentation by physicians and nurses suggest recognition rates of 20% to 50%.\(^43-45\) Risk factors for failure to recognize delirium include advanced age of the patient, preexisting dementia, and, most strongly, presence of the hypoactive or quiet form of delirium.\(^45\) Yet hypoactive patients are at risk of complications such as aspiration pneumonia, pressure ulcers, and malnutrition and their long-term outcomes are equal to or worse than those of patients with agitated delirium.\(^46\) Importantly, the hypoactive form of delirium is very noticeable to family members, as indicated by Ms R's daughter,\(^49\) and some medical centers now encourage family members to bring mental status changes to the attention of the care team (GRADE\(^46\) level C).

Risk Factors for Delirium

A useful model divides delirium risk factors into 2 categories: predisposing factors that increase vulnerability to delirium and precipitating factors that initiate the event.\(^49\) The risk of delirium is the sum of predisposing and precipitating factors. Therefore, patients with a high burden of predisposing factors need fewer precipitants, while patients with a low burden of predisposing factors need strong precipitants to become delirious.\(^49\)

Several validated clinical prediction rules summarize the preoperative risk of postoperative delirium.\(^7,18,50\) Consistent predisposing factors include advanced age (>70-75 years), preexisting dementia, and functional disability. Factors that appear in some models include laboratory abnormalities, increased comorbidity (especially cardiovascular disease), and history of depression. Using these models, patient predisposition for postoperative delirium can be stratified into low-, medium-, and high-risk groups.

In terms of precipitating factors, the most ubiquitous in the perioperative setting are the surgical procedure itself as well as anesthesia. Different surgeries represent varying degrees of physiological insult, with correspondingly different rates of delirium (eTable 1). For instance, major cardiac and vascular surgeries are much more likely to be associated with delirium than is cataract surgery. Intraoperative anesthesia also contributes to precipitating delirium, although the route (general vs regional) does not seem to have a major impact.\(^51\) This is likely because of the concomitant administration of seda-
tives with regional anesthesia (see "Preventability of Postoperative Delirium" section). Other common precipitating factors in the postoperative setting include exposure to sedating medications, \(^{22}\) poorly controlled postoperative pain, \(^{33}\) prolonged ICU stay, \(^{34}\) and the development of postoperative complications. \(^3\)

Ms R has relatively few predisposing factors for delirium, the primary ones being her age and history of depression. Accordingly, one would expect a high burden of precipitating factors to initiate delirium. This was the case after her colectomy, as she did not develop delirium related to the initial surgery and anesthesia but became delirious only when she developed sepsis. This first episode seemed to render her more vulnerable, so she became delirious after the ileostomy closure when there were no complications. Table 1 summarizes how predisposing and precipitating factors may contribute to delirium risk.

**Relationship With Dementia and Depression**

Both preexisting dementia and depression are risk factors for delirium and have an additive effect on risk. \(^{18,35}\) Recently, mild cognitive impairment has also been identified as a risk factor for delirium. \(^{18}\) Because delirium has been identified as an independent risk factor for incident dementia, \(^{36}\) these relationships may be bidirectional. A potential relationship of delirium with subsequent development of new-onset or worsening depression is less well studied, but examples similar to the experience of Ms R suggest that this relationship may also be present.

**INTERVENTIONS FOR POSTOPERATIVE DELIRIUM**

**Preventability of Postoperative Delirium**

A robust literature demonstrates the preventability of delirium, both in medical and surgical populations (Table 2 and eTable 2). The strongest evidence supports proactive, multifactorial interventions targeted to established risk factors for delirium (GRADE level B). The Hospital Elder Life Program (HELP) was originally tested in general medical patients, where it demonstrated a 40% relative risk reduction for delirium in a controlled clinical trial. \(^{36}\) HELP assesses 6 risk factors for delirium on admission and implements targeted interventions for each risk factor, largely...

---

**Figure. Inflammatory Model of the Pathophysiology of Postoperative Delirium**

This figure depicts a theoretical inflammatory model for the pathophysiology of delirium that has direct relevance for Ms R and is gaining acceptance in the literature. \(^{34,95,96}\) The extent and magnitude of the systemic inflammatory response varies widely among individuals, possibly related to chronic activity of stress response systems. \(^{34}\) It is unknown which specific cytokines or mediators cross the blood-brain barrier. \(^{5}\) Likely risk factors for the long-term consequences of neuroinflammation include preexisting cognitive impairment, cerebrovascular disease, and severe illness. \(^{76}\)
through nonpharmacological, low-technology interventions carried out by trained volunteers. The HELP model has recently been expanded to surgical patients.57

Another prevention model with substantial support in surgical patients is geriatrics consultation, in which a proactive multifactorial protocol is implemented through targeted recommendations made by the consultant. In a randomized trial of hip fracture patients, this model led to a 36% relative risk reduction in delirium and a greater than 50% relative risk reduction in severe delirium.58 This model can be modified to co-management rather than strict consultation and expanded to other disciplines, such as hospital medicine. A similar multifactorial intervention for hip fracture patients implemented by nursing staff did not affect the incidence of delirium but did reduce its duration and severity.59

Several pharmacological interventions have been tested with medication administered proactively, rather than waiting for delirium to occur. Three classes of medications have been examined: antipsychotics, cholinesterase inhibitors, and sedatives in the ICU and during regional anesthesia.

With respect to antipsychotics, a study of low-dose haloperidol in hip surgery patients demonstrated no reduction in the incidence of delirium but a reduction of severity and duration.60 Another study of low-dose olanzapine, also in major lower extremity orthopedic surgery, demonstrated a reduction in incidence but an increase in duration and severity.61 A third study of intravenous haloperidol given to non-cardiac surgery patients admitted to the ICU showed a reduced incidence of delirium and shorter ICU stay.62 Clinicians worry about exposing large populations of patients to antipsychotics, reflecting concern about their safety profile.63,64 However, short-term use, as in the above trials, is likely of quite low risk (GRADE level I). Cholinesterase inhibitors are a class of medications used widely in patients with dementia, in whom they have demonstrated modest efficacy in slowing cognitive decline.65 Since cholinergic deficiency may contribute to delirium,66 these drugs have a plausible role in prevention. However, randomized trials, performed largely in surgical populations, have not demonstrated benefit66-68 (GRADE level D).

### Table 1. Risk of Postoperative Delirium: Sum of Predisposing and Precipitating Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Factor Category</th>
<th>Predisposing Factors (Preoperative)</th>
<th>Precipitating Factors</th>
<th>Postoperative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major (2 points)</td>
<td>Advanced age (≥80 y)</td>
<td>High-risk surgical procedure (eg, major cardiac, open vascular, abdominal surgery)</td>
<td>Intensive care unit stay ≥2 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dementia or recent delirium, not resolved</td>
<td>Emergency surgery</td>
<td>Major complication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor (1 point)</td>
<td>Older age (70-79 y)</td>
<td>Moderate-risk surgical procedure (eg, most abdominal, orthopedic, ear, nose, and throat, gynecologic, urologic surgery)</td>
<td>Intensive care unit stay &lt;2 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mild cognitive impairment</td>
<td>Unscheduled surgery</td>
<td>Minor complication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>History of stroke</td>
<td>General anesthesia</td>
<td>Poorly controlled pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Functional disability</td>
<td>Regional anesthesia with intravenous sedation</td>
<td>Exposure to high-dose opiates/meperidine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Laboratory abnormalities</td>
<td>Minor complication</td>
<td>Exposure to sedatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High medical comorbidity, including cardiovascular risk factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alcohol/sedative abuse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Depressive symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The risk scores have not been validated but are based on the author's evaluation of the literature. Overall risk strata based on risk scores are as follows (approximate rates of delirium are given in parentheses): low risk (<10%); 0-2 points; moderate risk (10%-30%); 3-5 points; high risk (30%-50%); 6-8 points; and very high risk (>50%); ≥9 points.

### Table 2. Summary of Intervention Trials for Postoperative Delirium

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nonpharmacological Trials</th>
<th>Pharmacological Trials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prevention</td>
<td>Anesthesia and analgesia practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multifactorial intervention programs</td>
<td>General vs epidural postoperative analgesia: no difference.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Hospital Elder Life Program vs usual care reduces delirium incidence.51</td>
<td>Intravenous vs epidural postoperative analgesia: no difference.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proactive geriatrics consultation vs usual care reduces delirium incidence.66</td>
<td>Galantamine as opiate-sparing agent vs placebo reduces delirium.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse-led multifactorial intervention program vs usual care does not reduce delirium incidence but reduces severity, duration.69</td>
<td>Light vs deep sedation during spinal anesthesia reduces delirium.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dexmedetomidine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Three studies of dexmedetomidine vs benzodiazepines or barbiturates show reduced delirium incidence or duration with dexmedetomidine.72-75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antipsychotics</td>
<td>Light vs deep sedation during spinal anesthesia reduces delirium.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intravenous haloperidol vs placebo reduces delirium incidence and severity.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oral olanzapine vs placebo reduces delirium incidence, increased duration and severity.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Four placebo-controlled trials, 2 in elective orthopedic surgery, 1 in hip fracture, and 1 in cardiac surgery, show no benefit.66-68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Antipsychotics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multifactorial intervention programs</td>
<td>Low-dose oral haloperidol vs placebo reduces duration, severity.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialized geriatric unit vs usual care for patients with hip fracture reduces duration of delirium.62</td>
<td>Intravenous haloperidol vs placebo in intensive care reduces delirium rates.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oral olanzapine vs placebo reduces delirium incidence; increases duration and severity.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quetiapine vs haloperidol vs placebo in intensive care shows no difference.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Haloperidol vs ziprasidone vs placebo shows no difference.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Another prevention strategy is to modify use of sedating medications, particularly benzodiazepines, which have been associated with both delirium and long-term cognitive impairments after surgery and in the ICU. Two recent trials randomized patients to sedation with the adrenergic agonist dexmedetomidine vs lorazepam or midazolam in the ICU or vs propofol after cardiac surgery. All 3 trials showed equal levels of sedation and significantly reduced delirium days in the dexmedetomidine group, suggesting that this drug may be a less delirium-causing sedative for patients in the ICU setting (GRADE level B). Two trials of early mobilization of mechanically ventilated patients in the medical ICU resulted in decreased sedative use, which also reduced delirium.

A recent trial examined the use of conscious sedation in patients receiving spinal anesthesia for surgical repair of hip fracture. Patients' propofol sedation was titrated using a bispectral monitor, and those randomized to the light sedation group had substantially less postoperative delirium than those in the deep sedation group (GRADE level I). The message of these trials is clear: reducing sedatives, particularly benzodiazepines, results in less delirium.

Taken as a whole, these studies suggest a role both for assessing patient's risk of delirium preoperatively and for implementing proactive strategies to reduce this risk. For all high-risk patients, these strategies should include proactive, multifac torial nonpharmacological approaches plus targeted pharmacological approaches.

Treatment of Delirium

Compared with the literature on prevention, rigorous evidence supporting the benefits of treatment for delirium is more limited (Table 2 and eTable 2). Nonetheless, guidelines have been developed documenting consensus on optimal practices. I will review the published evidence briefly and then suggest a "best practices" approach.

Studies of treatment of delirium must address challenges with recognition. Prevention models do not require identification of patients with delirium except for outcome ascertainment. However, for treatment studies, clinicians must be able to identify who is delirious. This has been a major barrier. Yet it is possible to improve the detection of delirium by clinicians.

Treatment studies again divide into nonpharmacological multifactorial approaches and those that have evaluated the effect of drugs. The nonpharmacological studies largely have been performed outside the United States. They have used either specialized teams trained for systematic detection and treatment of delirium or reorganization of nursing care such that it becomes more patient centered rather than task centered. The results of these studies have been mixed, but they demonstrate at least some benefit in terms of shortened duration of delirium, reduced severity, and shortened hospital length of stay (GRADE level C). One nonpharmacological model within the United States is the "delirium room," where patients with agitated delirium are treated supportively without use of sedating medications (GRADE level I).

Pharmacological treatment trials for delirium have been small and have not focused on surgical patients. A randomized trial of haloperidol, lorazepam, and chlorpromazine in younger patients with AIDS showed that all 3 drugs were effective in sedation, with haloperidol having the best adverse effect profile. Until recently, randomized trials of the newer atypical antipsychotics have been small comparative effectiveness studies with no placebo group; they have failed to demonstrate superiority of these agents over haloperidol. Recently, several small placebo-controlled trials of haloperidol and the atypical antipsychotics have been conducted in the ICU. Results have been mixed, and, importantly, the delirium severity scales used as the outcome measures for some trials heavily weight hyperactive symptoms; thus, conversion of a hyperactive patient to hypoactive could be interpreted as improvement (GRADE level I). In one study, treatment with a cholinesterase inhibitor, rivastigmine, in an ICU population resulted in harm (GRADE level D).

In the absence of a definitive treatment trial, guidelines have outlined key steps in the treatment of delirium:

1. There should be systematic case-finding in high-risk patients.
2. If delirium is identified, a thorough search for underlying contributing factors should be undertaken.
3. To the extent possible, factors identified in step 2 should be corrected.
4. Patient safety and support should be ensured, largely through pharmacological means, with judicious use of antipsychotics such as low-dose haloperidol when necessary (GRADE level B).

Management of Postoperative Pain

An issue particularly relevant to the surgical population is the management of postoperative pain in patients with delirium or at high risk of delirium. Evidence suggests that postoperative pain should be treated, but in the most judicious manner possible (GRADE level C). Opiate use is not a risk factor for delirium, but exposure to meperidine and high opiate doses increase risk. Use of local or regional analgesia and nonopiate analgesics may be helpful in limiting the total dose of opiate required. Opiates should be administered in a low-dose, scheduled fashion rather than as needed. If the patient reports that he/she is not having any pain, the scheduled medication can be held rather than relying on patients to request more medication when in pain. Patient-controlled analgesia can be effective for patients with adequate cognitive function and therefore is appropriate as a delirium prevention strategy (GRADE level I).

Long-term Follow-up of Delirium

Patients with delirium are at high risk of poor long-term outcomes. Surgeons and other clinicians who focus primarily...
on hospitalized patients may not be aware of all of its "downstream" effects on patient recovery. With recent increased emphasis on transitions of care, hospital-based clinicians should clearly document whether postoperative delirium developed, what workup was done to evaluate its causes, what treatment plan was initiated, and the status of the patient at discharge. Patients with delirium that is worsening or not adequately evaluated should not be discharged, particularly since such patients are likely to be readmitted quickly (GRADE level B).

Once discharged, patients who have experienced postoperative delirium need both short- and long-term follow-up. In the short term, mental status should be monitored closely for recurrence and intensive rehabilitation efforts initiated to reverse the cognitive and functional declines typical in these patients. Patients who are not improving should receive a comprehensive evaluation from their primary care physician or from a geriatrician or rehabilitation specialist (GRADE level I).

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR MS R

To summarize, delirium or acute confusion is perhaps the most common postoperative complication, yet it is often unrecognized by clinicians caring for surgical patients. Patients' risk of delirium can be defined based on the sum of predisposing and precipitating factors. Effective approaches exist for the prevention of delirium, and the quest for improved detection and intervention of delirium is developing. Patients' risk factors and precipitating factors in these patients need careful follow-up to maximize their likelihood of full recovery.

If such patients require surgery again, a thorough preoperative evaluation by a physician expert is indicated. If a patient's cognitive status has not returned to baseline, it might be best to postpone additional surgery until recovery is complete. When surgery is undertaken, surgeons, anesthesiologists, and medical specialists should carefully consider ways to minimize the stress of surgery and the total dose of anesthetics and sedation administered. Postoperatively, these patients should be actively co-managed by geriatricians, hospitalists, or intensivists, with daily delirium case finding. If delirium is detected, appropriate evaluation and management should commence promptly. Delirium diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment should be documented in the medical record and discharge summary to facilitate management across transitions of care.

Regarding what I would recommend in particular for Ms R if she faced surgery again, Ms R said, "I would hire an expert in delirium with the hope that that person might have a way of intervening early and avoid this from happening." I concur fully. But I believe her risk of delirium with future surgery is quite small. Her predisposing risk factors for delirium were relatively few, and she developed delirium after her first surgery only in the setting of sepsis. She developed delirium immediately after her second surgery, which was without complications, but it is not clear whether she had fully recovered from the first surgery. Regardless, I would recommend the management strategy described herein to minimize her risk of recurrent delirium and maximize her chances for prompt and complete postoperative recovery.

EPILOGUE

Shortly after completing her interview for Clinical Crossroads, Ms R fell while getting out of her car and had a femoral fracture below her artificial hip, requiring emergency surgical repair. She received the careful perioperative care recommended herein and did not develop postoperative delirium. She was discharged on postoperative day 3 and recovered uneventfully.

QUESTIONS AND DISCUSSION

Question: It is important that one recognize that the brain is not just a neurologic but an immunologic organ and that this is probably the basis of delirium and POCD. One concern that I have is that plasma biomarker concentrations may not be reflective of concentrations in the brain. Would you care to comment?

Dr. Marcantonio: I agree that examining immunologic markers in the brain would be ideal, but it is challenging to obtain cerebrospinal fluid serially in surgical patients. Therefore, to complement human studies, a number of investigators are developing animal models for delirium and POCD that have some advantages of being able to control perioperative variables and to obtain fluids and tissues. Hopefully, these models will help to elucidate pathophysiology.

Question: This is probably the first formal discussion of postoperative delirium that most people in this audience have heard, both in their training and in their career. Why do you think that is? And how do we get the message out?

Dr. Marcantonio: While delirium has been described since antiquity, the first official diagnosis did not appear until 1980, and we have developed good ways to measure it only in the past 15 years. It is very hard to pay attention to something you cannot measure well. Now that measurement strategies have been developed and there is a growing literature on prevention and treatment, there is need for more education and awareness of delirium. As older patients constitute more and more of the surgical population, delirium is going to be very difficult to ignore.
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